

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,920	09/29/2000	Gary D. Zimmerman	MP0966(13036/5)	MP0966(13036/5) 8995	
60537 7	590 10/18/2006		EXAMINER		
	FER GILSON & LIONE	PHAM, THIERRY L			
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2625		
		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/675,920	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Thierry L. Pham	2625	

Defore the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thierry L. Pham	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(070) 000			
4. Applicant's reply has givernooned the following rejection (2)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed emendme	nt consoling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il sublinited ili a separate,	unlely liled amendine	int canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 16-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-15</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·				
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No/a)					
13. Other:	(i Torobroo) Paper No(s).	۸ .				
Halml Hannes						
	' √ GABRI	EL I. GARCIA				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants are not persuasive and newly added limitations/features found in claims 1, 5, and 8 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.